



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/994,622

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/994,622	12/19/97	GILBERT	2131020-CIT2

HM11/0126

MICHAEL N. MERCANTI
ROBERTS & MERCANTI
P O BOX 484
PRINCETON NJ 08542-0484

EXAMINER
RUSSEL

ART UNIT
1554

PAPER NUMBER
9 10

DATE MAILED:

01/26/99

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Laurence Manber (3) _____
(2) Jeffrey E. Russel (4) _____

Date of interview January 11, 1999

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed: All

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Appls. argued that claims 40-48 correspond to those originally present in parent application. Examiner will re-check this and also consider its effect on the effective filing date analysis of claims 40-48 and then call Appls. Clms. 10, 14, 28, 29 are not rejected because their dependent claim limitations are not taught by Greenwald et al and therefore inherency rejection of these claims would not be proper.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

- ☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Jeffrey Edwin Russel
Examiner's Signature



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/994,622

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
08/994,622	12/19/97	GILBERT	C 2131020-CIP2

HM11/0126

MICHAEL N. MERCANTI
ROBERTS & MERCANTI
P O BOX 484
PRINCETON NJ 08542-0484

EXAMINER
RUSSEL, J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1654	10-9 cont.

DATE MAILED: 01/26/99

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Laurence Marber (3) _____
(2) Jeffrey E. Russel (4) _____

Date of interview January 11, 1999

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: "Alkyl-capped polyalkylene oxides" is supported by spec. (pg. 7, lines 18-19) and avoids prior art rejection of clms. 1-39 over Greenwald et al.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

- ☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Jeffrey E. Russel
Examiner's Signature